

Appl. No. 09/871,596  
Amdt. Dated February 20, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of October 20, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 81754.0061  
Customer No.: 26021

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated October 20, 2003, claims 1 and 2 are amended, and claims 4-13 are canceled without prejudice or waiver. Claims 1-3 remain in the application. It is not the Applicants' intent to surrender any equivalents because of the amendments or arguments made herein. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application are respectfully requested.

#### Response to Election/Restriction Requirement

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 4-13 were acknowledged as being withdrawn from consideration, and that cancellation of the claims was required.

The Applicants have canceled claims 4-13 as required.

#### Objections to the Specification

On page 2 of the Office Action, the abstract was objected to because it included references to the drawings.

The Applicants have amended the abstract to remove the references, and respectfully request that the objection be withdrawn.

#### Non-Art-Based Rejections

On page 3 of the Office Action, claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection, but, in order to expedite prosecution of the application, have amended the claims to overcome the rejection.

### Art-Based Rejections

On pages 3-6 of the Office Action, claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Shinozuka, USPN 6,560,200.

The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and respectfully submit that the claims are patentable in light of the arguments below.

### The Shinozuka Reference

The Shinozuka reference discloses a serial bus experimental apparatus. The controller 3n instructs the link layer circuit 5n to transmit a packet for a desired node instrument or to receive a packet from a desired node instrument. Further, according to the instruction through the operational panel 12, the controller 3n allows the display of a reception packet stored in the memory 10 on the display device 11. Still further, the controller 3n sets a reference packet to the reference packet set up circuit 27 in the packet capture circuit 32, and instructs the capture control circuit to start or stop capturing. See Col. 10, lines 46-58.

### The Claims are Patentable over the Cited Reference

The claims of the present invention describe a method and device for testing a physical layer. A method in accordance with the present invention includes a link layer interface, a physical layer logic circuit to be connected to said link layer interface, and a plurality of ports to be connected to said physical layer logic circuit are provided beforehand in said physical layer device, where in testing, said test link layer circuit is connected to said physical layer logic circuit through said link layer interface, and said test physical layer logic circuit is connected to a first port that is one of said plurality of ports, and said first port is connected to a second port

that is one of said plurality of ports through an external bus, and the second port is connected to said physical layer logic circuit; and said link layer interface, said physical layer logic circuit, and said plurality of ports are tested.

The cited reference does not teach nor suggest the limitations of the claims of the present invention. Specifically, the cited reference does not teach nor suggest the limitation of said first port is connected to a second port that is one of said plurality of ports through an external bus, and the second port is connected to said physical layer logic circuit as described in the claims of the present invention.

The Shinozuka reference describes a device similar to that is described in FIG. 5 of the application. Shinozuka requires that a second physical layer device be connected to the ports of the physical layer device being tested as well as to another link layer device. Shinozuka does not recognize that the ports of the physical layer device being tested can be connected through an external bus, and that one of the ports of the physical layer device being tested can be connected to another port of the same device. As such, Shinozuka does not teach nor suggest at least the limitation of a first port that is one of said plurality of ports, being connected to a second port that is one of said plurality of ports through an external bus, and the second port is connected to the physical layer logic circuit as recited in the claims of the present invention.

Thus, it is submitted that independent claims 1 and 2 are patentable over the cited reference. Claim 3 is also patentable over the cited reference, not only because they contain all of the limitations of the independent claim, but because claim 3 also describe additional novel elements and features that are not described in the prior art.

Appl. No. 09/871,596  
Amdt. Dated February 20, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of October 20, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 81754.0061  
Customer No.: 26021

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are requested.


If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at the Los Angeles, California telephone number (213) 337-6700 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-1314.

Respectfully submitted,  
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

Date: February 20, 2004

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Anthony J. Orler  
Registration No. 41,232  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

500 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1900  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Phone: 213-337-6700  
Fax: 213-337-6701